

1894-076 Chancery Causes: Gdn. of Emerson Robbins vs. Mary A. Robbins, <sup>oc</sup>  
Lee Co. <sub>widow</sub>

Stuart, Pennington, Witt, Collier

1 Plat

CA-Estate Dispute  
T-Property

-Deed



To the Hon. H. S. K. Morison, Judge of the  
Circuit Court for the Co., Va.

Humbly complaining, your ora-  
tor, A. D. Robbins, guardian for Emmerson  
Robbins, Laura Robbins, Campbell Robbins,  
Arthur Robbins and Emily Robbins in-  
fant children of Tennessee L. Robbins died,  
would respectfully represent unto your  
honor; that the said <sup>infants</sup> are seized of an  
estate in fee of and ~~of~~ a certain tract or  
parcel of land lying and being in the  
County on the waters of Jones Creek in the  
Crest Orchard, Country and containing  
about 143 acres, and is that land  
conveyed by your orator to the said Ten-  
nessee L. Robbins in his life time. A copy  
of said deed is here filed marked "A"  
and prayed to be considered as a part of  
this bill of Complaint. Sometime in the year  
1887 the said Tennessee L. Robbins, who  
was a son of your orator, departed this  
life intestate, seized and possessed of  
said tract of land. He left surviving him  
a widow, Mary A. Robbins, and five chil-  
dren to wit: the said Emmerson, Laura,  
Campbell, Arthur and Emily Robbins,  
to whom, your orator is advised, said land  
by the laws of Virginia, descended subject,  
however, to the dower rights of their Mother.  
The said Mary A. Robbins.

Your orator further alleges that  
at the Sept. term of the County Court for



1 1890 he was appointed and duly qualified  
2 as the guardian of all of said infants  
3 and executed a bond in a penalty of  
4 \$3000<sup>00</sup> before the clerk of said court. A  
5 copy of the order appointing him such  
6 guardian and of his bond is here filed  
7 marked 'B' for the inspection of your  
8 honor.

9 Your orator further states that said  
10 Mary A. Robbins has not been assigned  
11 dower out of said land; that the same  
12 is steep, rough and but little improved;  
13 that its rental value will not much ex-  
14 ceed enough to pay the taxes thereon and  
15 keep it in its present condition; and that  
16 its principal value is the coal and timber  
17 on it.

18 Your orator will further state unto  
19 your honor that all of his said wards  
20 are under 14 years of age; that they  
21 own no other estate, real or personal,  
22 than said land; ~~and~~ that Mary A. Rob-  
23 bins is 30 years of age; and that if said  
24 infants were to die before attaining 21 years of  
25 age and without issue your orator would  
26 be their heir at law, because they derived  
27 their estate in said land by descent from  
28 their said father who was a son of  
29 your orator.

30 Your orator will again aver and al-  
31 lege that the interest of his said wards  
32 would be greatly promoted by a sale



1 of their said land, and the proceeds loaned  
2 out or invested in other lands more pro-  
3 ductive and capable of being farmed; in fact  
4 he has made a contract with Wm. H. G.  
5 Slump and M. J. Collins wherein they bind  
6 themselves to pay  $\$12^{00}$  per acre for this land,  
7 one-third down and the residue in  
8 two equal annual payments; if your  
9 honor will confirm the contract and  
10 appoint a comr. to make them a deed  
11 to said land for said infants, he will  
12 aver it to be promotive of his said  
13 wards interest. The said contract here  
14 filed marked "S. & C." Said Mary A.  
15 Robbins is willing said land be sold and  
16 in lieu of her dower therein take a  
17 reasonable compensation therefor.

18 Now in as much as your orator is  
19 remediless in the premises save by the  
20 aid of a court of equity where matters of this  
21 kind are alone and properly cognizable, he  
22 humbly prays that the said Mary A.  
23 Robbins, <sup>2</sup>Emmerson Robbins, <sup>3</sup>Laura Robbins,  
24 <sup>4</sup>Campbell Robbins, <sup>5</sup>Arthur Robbins and <sup>6</sup>Emily  
25 Robbins be made parties defendants to this  
26 bill of complaint; that for said infants a  
27 guardian ad litem be assigned them to  
28 defend them in this cause; that the said Mary  
29 A. Robbins be required to answer this bill  
30 fully and completely; that your honor will  
31 confirm the contract made by your orator  
32 for the sale of said land and appoint a



1 come - to make compliance of the title there -  
2 to said Slump & Collier; that if your  
3 honor deem it improper to confirm said  
4 contract, that a decree be rendered herein  
5 for the sale of said land at public out-  
6 cry. May such further, other and general  
7 relief as may be consistent with equity and  
8 the nature of this case be granted your  
9 orator. And he will ever pray &c. May  
10 Spc issue &c

11 E. W. Pennington p. p.  
12 Virginia,

13 Rich County, to wit:

14 I, F. M. Parsons do certify  
15 That A. D. Robbins plaintiff in the foregoing  
16 bill this day personally appeared before  
17 me in my county and State aforesaid  
18 and made oath that the statements made  
19 in said bill, so far as made upon his  
20 own knowledge are true, and so far as  
21 made upon the knowledge or informa-  
22 tion of others, he believes to be true. Given  
23 under my hand this the 23 day of Sept 1890.

24 F. M. Parsons, J. P.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32



E.W.P.

Page	1.00
Club	6.16
f. p.	1.00
Wicks	1.30
S. A. L	5.00
City	15.00
Shiriff	3.50
<hr/>	
Add 6	33.96
" "	4.89 to June 72
" "	1.08 " 93
" "	39.93
Mc	1.84 to June 72
<hr/>	
	41.77

A. D. Robbins vs. Bill in Chancery

John has a line.  
I have not met

vs. Bill in Chancery

Mary A. Robbins et al

1890 2<sup>nd</sup> Oct. Rules Bill  
filed Spec Execut. &  
Deere et al

" 1<sup>st</sup> Apr. Rules Bill  
and Mary A. Robbins  
filed & Const. et al

" 3<sup>rd</sup> Apr. Rules Bill  
Const. et al for  
hearing.

1892 Cont'd this year 108  
 1893 Cont'd to June Term 72  
 1893 Cont'd Term cont'd 36  
 1894 Cont'd this year 108

Nov Term 1894 & Deere et al  
See Order Book 108

1899 Cont'd this year 108

3



To the Honorable H.S.K. Morison, Judge of the Circuit Court of Lee co.:

Humbly complaining your petitioner, Timoth. Stuart, would respectfully show to your Honor that by a decree entered in the chancery cause of A.D. Robbins, guardian, etc., vs. Mary A. Robbins, et al., on the 3rd day of December, 1890, the said A.D. Robbins was appointed a receiver for the purpose, and directed, among other things, to collect certain sums of money from M.D. Collier and W.N.G. Slemp for the lands sold by him to ~~him~~ them. Said receiver before proceeding to collect said money was required by said decree to execute bond before the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Lee county in the sum of three thousand dollars, conditioned to ~~faith~~ faithfully account for all sums of money received by him as such receiver. Your petitioner will now show your Honor that he, together with R.L. Evins, W.J. Bailey, Mathew W. Zion became the surities of the said A.D. Robbins in the bond thus required of him. Your petitioner will now further show your Honor that as he is informed nothing has ever been ~~do~~ done by the said receiver, that no money has ever been collect by him, or any thing else done by him, infact that the sale referred to in the bill and proceeding never was consumated. Your petitioner and his co-securities in said bond are not willing to longer remain bound thereby, his prayer therefore is that a rule be awarded against the said Robbins receiver as aforesaid, returnable to the first day of the next term of this court, to show cause if any he can why he should not execute a nwe bond, and to show why he has not made a report of what he has done as special commissioner or receiver in this cause, and that upon the return of said rule your petitioner be released from further liability on said bond. And as in duty bound, your petitioner will ever pray, &c.

C. T. Duncan  
Atty

Timothy Stuart by  
Counsel







To the Hon. H. S. K. Morrison Judge of  
the Circuit Court for Lee County

~~The~~ <sup>My</sup> ~~separate~~ answer of Emmerson Robbins,  
Laura Robbins, Campbell Robbins, Arthur  
Robbins, and Emily Robbins, infants un-  
der the age of twenty-one years, by A. M.  
Goins, their guardian ad litem, assigned  
to defend them in this suit, to a bill of  
complaint exhibited against them and  
others, in the circuit court of Lee County,  
Virginia, by A. D. Robbins, their guardian.

The respondents, reserving to themselves  
the benefit of all just exceptions to the said  
bill, for answer thereto, or to so much  
thereof as they are advised that it is  
material they should answer, by their  
said guardian ad litem, answer and  
say:—

That they are infants of tender years,  
and by reason of their infancy are  
incapable of understanding, or of  
taking care of their rights and interests.  
They therefore, by their said guardian,  
commend themselves and their rights and  
interests to the protection of the court, and  
pray that no decree may be pronounced  
which will tend to their prejudice.

And having fully answered, the said  
respondents pray to be hence dismissed  
with their reasonable costs in this



behalf expended, and they will ever  
pray &c.

A. M. Goins, Guardian ad  
Litem for said infants.

Virginia: Lee County, to-wit:

This day A. M. Goins, whose answer  
is above written, personally appeared  
before me, John R. Gibson, Clerk of the County  
Court of Lee County, Virginia, and in my  
County aforesaid made oath that the  
statements contained in the said answer,  
so far as made of his own knowledge,  
are true; and so far as made from  
the knowledge or information derived  
from others, he believes to be true.

Given under my Hand this 2 day of Oct.,  
in the year 1890.

John R. Gibson Clerk.

A. D. Robbins, Guardian &c.

vs. Ans. Guar. ad Litem

Mary St. Robbins et al.

Filed Oct. 20<sup>th</sup> 1890

J. A. G. Hyatt



To the Hon H. L. Morrison Judge of the Cir-  
cuit Court for Lee County.

The separate answer of Mary A.  
Robbins to bill of complaint filed against her  
and others by A. D. Robbins in your honours  
court:

Your respondent says she has had read  
and explained to her the bill of said Robbins  
and she says she knows the allegations  
therein made are true; That the interest  
of said infants would be promoted by a  
sale of their said land; That she is  
perfectly willing that the same be  
sold ~~and~~ free of her dower rights,  
and in lieu thereof is willing to take  
compensation, and that a decree be  
rendered in said cause for that purpose,  
and that under all the circumstances  
the sale or contract of sale made by  
him the said plaintiff, ought to be con-  
firmed because promotive of said in-  
fants interest. Now having answered  
said bill as fully as she is advised  
it is material for her to answer. She  
prays to be hence dismissed with her  
reasonable costs and she will ever  
pray &c.

Mary A. Robbins  
mark

Y<sup>e</sup> <sup>h</sup>  
w

Lee County, to wit:

This day Mary A. Rob-  
bins personally appeared before me



me the undersigned justice of  
the peace in my county and State  
aforesaid and made oath that the  
statements made in the foregoing answer  
as far as made of her own knowl-  
edge are true, and so far as made  
upon the information of others she believes  
to be true. Given under my hand  
this the 23 day of Sept 1890.

F. M. Parsons

J. P.

Mary A. Robbins

also { answer

M. A. Robbins was sworn

Filed Oct. 20, 1890

J. A. G. Hyatt



A.D.Robbins

Plaintiff

vs.

In Chenaery.

Mary A.Robbins et als.

Defendants.

This cause came on again this day to be further heard upon the papers formerly read herein and the report of Commissioner J.A.G.Hyatt, filed therein on the 15th day of November 1894, and was argued by counsel. On consideration of all which, and for reasons appearing to the court, it is adjudged, ordered and decreed that said report be and the same is hereby confirmed. And on the motion of the plaintiff in this cause, it is hereby dismissed and stricken from the docket.







A.D. Robbins guar & Compt

vs.

Wm. A. Robbins et al Defts

Ch. Chmrs

This cause came on again this day to be heard upon the papers formerly read therein, and the petition of Timothy Stewart praying to be relieved from his suretyship on the bond of said Robbins as receiver in said cause and was argued by Counsel. On consideration of all which and it appearing from the return of the Sheriff of this County, that said Robbins has had more than ten days notice of the intended motion of said Stewart, it is therefore adjudged, ordered and decreed that said Stewart be and he is hereby relieved of all responsibility as surety on said Robbins bond as receiver in this cause, except for the acts and doings already done, and the receipt of monies already done; and said Robbins is hereby inhibited from receiving any further sums of money and doing any other act as receiver in this cause, until he shall have the consent



of this Court And it is further  
ordered that said Robbins ap-  
pear before J. A. Hyatt one of  
this Courts Commissioners in  
Chancery and make a settlement  
of his account as receiver in this  
cause and report the result of  
such settlement to this Court,  
until which time this cause  
is continued.

H. D. Robbins Junr

vs  
Dane

Mary A. Robbins et al

Entered Ch. O.B. p. 533.  
Nov. 15<sup>th</sup> 1893.

Enterd Ch.  
Nov. 15<sup>th</sup> 93  
H. D. Robbins  
" "



H. A. Robbins guardian & Comptk.

12

Mary Robbins et al vs H. A. Robbins

In Chancery

This cause came on this day to be heard upon the bill of the Comptk., the exhibits filed therewith, the separate answer of Mary H. Robbins & the joint-answer of Emmerson Robbins, Emerson Robbins and Emily Robbins, Comptk. infants under 21 years old by A. M. Goring their guardian ad litem Robbins and Arthur Robbins, the ratification of said Comptk. to said answer, and the depositions of witnesses. On consideration of all which and for reasons appearing that the interest of said <sup>defendants</sup> ~~witnesses~~ will be promoted thereby, and that the rights of no person will be violated, it is adjudged, ordered and decreed that the sale made by said Comptk. of said land in the bill mentioned to W. M. S. Clark and Mr. T. Collier, be and the same is hereby confirmed unto them at the price of \$12<sup>00</sup> per acre. It is further adjudged, ordered and decreed that said purchasers have said land surveyed by a competent surveyor and at their expense as soon as they and shall have paid said H. A. Robbins for the use & benefit of said defendants, one third of the purchase price



thereof and shall have executed to him their bonds with good security and bearing interest from date of making said cash payment and payable in said and two years from that date then H. S. Robbins who is hereby appointed a special Commissioner for the purpose will make said purchasers and is to said to said defendant in trust in said land, reserving therein a vendors lien in favor of said H. S. Robbins guardian for said infant until the defunct's payments thereon are fully paid. Said Robbins will report his action in writing to a future term of this Court. And said plaintiff will pay the costs of this suit out of the proceeds of said land and for the payment of which he may have credit on his guardian account. And this cause is continued.

But before

H. S. Robbins guardian

vs. Green, Jr.

Mary H. Robbins

vs. Page 300

vs. Book 63

vs. H. S. Robbins

et al.

Enter this

Dec. 3

W. H. M.



Virginia. Lee County to wit;

The depositions of John C. Pennington and S. D. Robbins taken before me A. C. Joshua a justice of the peace in and for the County of Lee and State of Virginia pursuant to notice hereto annexed at the Circuit Court clerk's office of Lee County Virginia, to be read as evidence in <sup>Belleville</sup> S. D. Robbins guardian &c. in a certain suit in chancery now depending in the circuit Court of Lee County wherein the said S. D. Robbins is plaintiff and Mary A. Emerson Laura Campbell and Arthur and Emily Robbins are defendants.

Present, S. D. Robbins plaintiff and A. M. Lewis guardian ad litem for all of said defendants except the said Mary A. Robbins. The first witness John C. Pennington being first duly sworn, deposes as follows:

Ques. 1- Please state whether you are acquainted with the tract of land mentioned in plaintiff's bill that is the land described and



Plaintiff and wife to Lemuel  
L. Collins?

Answer I am pretty well acquainted with said  
land and have known it some  
Twenty-five or thirty years and  
during all this time I have lived  
within about two miles of the same  
I am a farmer by occupation this  
land is rough steep and rugged

Ques. 2. by Plaintiff

Please state <sup>whether</sup> ~~what~~ in your  
opinion said land ~~was~~ is a-  
dapted for farming?

Answer In my opinion the land being so  
very rough is not well adapted to  
farming purposes and for farming  
purposes in my opinion five dollars  
per acre would be a good price for  
it.

Ques. 3. State whether in your opinion  
said farm could now be sold  
for more than what it is worth  
for farming purposes if so why?

Ans. I think it could because of its  
valued Coal and Timber and the  
apparent demand for such

Ques. 4. Should or not, in your opinion



The interest of the infant child  
dum. of said Penn. S. Robbins  
be promoted by sale of said  
land at the price of \$12<sup>00</sup> per acre  
if so what?

Answer. I am of the opinion that the sale  
of said land at \$12<sup>00</sup> per acre would  
be promotive of the interests of said  
infants because the interest on the  
money it would sell for would be  
much more than it would rent for  
per annum and because the money  
could be invested in other lands  
that would be much more profitable  
than this land for forming incomes.

Ques. 5. Have said infants any other es-  
tate than said land, and have they  
any other source of income than  
from this land?

Answer. None that I know of or have ever  
heard of.

Ques. 6. Could said land be sold for  
more than \$12<sup>00</sup> per acre?

Answer. It could not, \$12<sup>00</sup> per acre is the  
highest price any land in that section  
has been sold for. Said infants are  
all small and cannot possibly make  
a living off this land. The land is



not as good for farming purposes as  
other land in that vicinity that has  
been sold at \$2.00 per acre. Very  
recently I am acquainted with Mr.  
S. Collier and W. H. S. Sloop and  
suppose they are able to buy for said  
land at said price per acre, and  
therefore think it advisable and  
promotive of the interests of said  
infants to have the sale of said land  
confirmed to said Collier & Sloop.

Crop Examined by Gerulian  
ad litem A. M. Goins

Ques. 1 Do you know what has become  
of ~~unusual~~ L. Robbins? Is he  
dead? If so, did he die intestate?

Answer He is dead. He died about four  
years ago and left no will that  
I ever heard of.

Ques. 2 Is same

Have you any interest in his  
land or in the sale of it?

Answer None whatever

Ques. 3 Is same.

What kinship exists between A. S.  
Robbins and his said wards?

Answer A. S. Robbins is their grandfather.



Ques. 4 By same.

About how many acres of said tract of land is cleared up?

Answer. About thirty or thirty-five acres, some of which is considerably worn.

Ques. 5 And further this deponent saith not

to 20 miles  
from 2 30

John C. Perington

James E. With another witness of lawful age, after being duly sworn deposes and says

I have known said land some fifteen years and during this time have lived in the vicinity of it, and I further state that I have heard the questions asked to John C. Perington and his answers given thereto, and my answers to the same questions are the same as given by him and I hereby endorse them and make them my answers to said questions both as to the direct and cross examination.

And further this deponent saith not

to 20 miles  
from 2 30

James E. With

Martin E. Collier another witness of lawful age being duly sworn deposes as follows



Myself and W. B. G. Stenk have  
agreed with the guardian of said  
wards to pay \$200 per year for said  
land - one third to be paid as soon  
as the Court confirms the sale - the  
balance in the next twelve months  
thereafter. We are able to pay for  
this land, and have the money  
now in Wells Valley Bank & make  
the first payment

Witness do hereby further this document with not.  
1 day and  
20 cents travel

Martin D. Collier

\$ 20

W. D. Robbins another witness of lawful  
age. being duly sworn deposes and says

Ques. 1. Please give the names and ages  
of your wards?

Answer Emerson age 12 years Campbell 8  
Laura 10 Arthur 6 Emily 4.

Ques. 2. Have your said wards any other  
estate than said land or any  
other source of income than  
from said land?

Answer They have no other land or property  
or income of any kind.

Ques. 3. Have you contracted said land  
and to whom, and what other  
are



Answer. I have contracted this land, to M. S. Gallie and W. M. S. Sump at  $2.25^00$  per acre

Ques. 4. Does Mrs. Mary A. Robbins consent to this contract and sale?

Answer. She does consent to the sale.

Ques. 5. Should a confirmation of the sale of this land be promotive to the interests of your said wards, if so why?

Answer. I think the Confirmation of this sale would be promotive to the interests of my said wards, because of the roughness of said land and its non adaptability to farming purposes and because it is my opinion that the money for this land could be invested in other lands outside of crab orchard that would be more suited to the use and benefit of my said wards, since the death of their father who was my son I have had to help <sup>them</sup> along said infants and I now have sold my lands which adjoin it at  $1.00^00$  per acre and will soon have to move away and when I am gone said infants will have



No one to assist them as I have  
done heretofore they being young  
and said land having but a  
small rental value I hardly  
know how they would make out.  
if they are compelled to depend upon  
this land for a support  
And further the deponent with and

S. S. Robbins

Virginia Lee County. To-wit:

I H. C. Evelyn a Justice of the  
Peace for the County and State  
aforesaid do hereby Certify that  
the foregoing depositions of John  
B. Pennington, James S. With M. D.  
Ballier and S. S. Robbins were  
duly taken sworn to and subscribed  
before me at the time and place  
and for the purposes therein mentioned  
given under my hand this 25<sup>th</sup>  
day of October 1891

Henry C. Evelyn J. P.



H. D. Robbins

vs. { Deposition

Mary A. Robbins et al

Filed Oct 27/90

J. A. Bennett

f. p. 9150



A. D. Robbins guard. &c

vs.

Mary A. Robbins & al

On the 15<sup>th</sup> day of Nov., 1893,  
the undersigned, was appointed  
in the above styled case, a  
commissioner for the purpose  
of ascertaining what sum  
of money, by reason of his  
appointment as receiver in  
the above styled case, went  
into the hands of said A. D. Robbins  
as receiver; he was bound  
to report, that after giving the  
parties in interest notice of  
the time, place and purpose  
of his sitting, he heard  
proof, and from such  
nothing went into the hands  
of said Robbins as receiver.  
Said Robbins was appointed  
receiver in said case simply  
for the purpose of receiving  
some money for the sale of the  
infants land in the bill men-  
tioned; but, owing to the  
failure of the purchaser in  
pairs of said land to take



and pay for the same as they  
agreed, the land was never  
sold, hence nothing received  
or made by said Robbins.  
The land is still that of  
said defendants. All  
which is submitted. This  
nov. 15th 1894.

J. G. Hyatt, Comr.

Ans. Robbins vs  
Proprietors of  
Hyatt

Wm. A. Robbins et al  
Filed Nov. 15/94



This deed made in the year of  
our Lord March 1<sup>st</sup> 1884 between A D  
Robbins & Mary his wife of the first  
part. And Tennessee S Robbins of the  
second part. Both of the County of Lee  
and State of Virginia witnesseth that  
the said A D Robbins & Mary his wife of the  
first part doth grant bargain & sell unto the said  
Tennessee S Robbins a certain tract or boundary  
of land lying on the south side of the Little  
Black Mountain in the County of Lee &  
State of Virginia on the head waters of Jones  
Creek, of North Fork of Powells River, beginning  
to wit: beginning at an apple tree standing on the  
West side of a branch. Thence S. 51 E. 34 poles  
to three Dog Woods, standing on the top of a Ridge.  
Thence N 27 E. 27 poles, to two Black Oaks,  
standing on the top of the Ridge. Thence N 4 W. 10  
poles to a Chestnut oak & Birch on the top of  
the Ridge. Thence N 14 E 30 poles to three  
Chestnuts standing on the Ridge. Thence N  
22 W. 20 poles, to two Beeches, corner to Holmes  
& Ely. Thence N 8 E. 10 poles to a Maple &  
Red oak standing on the Ridge. Thence  
N. 29 W. 24 poles to a chestnut oak on the  
top of the Ridge. Thence N 39 W. 34 poles  
to a black oak, standing on the top of the Ridge.  
Thence N 11 W 20 poles to a Black Oak,



and Chestnut standing on the Ridge. Thence  
N 1 E 26 poles to a Chestnut oak standing on  
the Ridge Thence N 30 W 33 pole to two  
Chestnut Oaks standing on the top of the  
Ridge Thence N 8 W 22 poles to a Chestnut  
Oak standing on the top of the Ridge Thence  
North 12 W 25 poles to three Horn Beams on  
the top of the Little Black Mountain. Thence  
S 74 W 22 poles to a Hickory standing on  
the top of the Mountain. Thence S 54 W 68  
poles to three Chestnut Oaks standing on the  
top of said Mountain. Thence S 29 E 11 pole  
to a Chestnut oak ~~and~~ Thence S 20 E 84 poles  
to a Chestnut oak by the Road. Thence S 16 E  
56 poles to a Chestnut oak at the lower side  
of the Road at or near Joseph E. Smith's  
land. Thence N 89 E 12 poles to a Chestnut  
oak by the Road. Thence S 30 poles to Gum  
and 2 Chestnuts by the Road. Thence S 56 E  
42 poles to the beginning. The said boundary  
containing 113 Acres more or less. The said  
A. D. Robbins, and his wife do Covenant with  
the said Tennessee S. Robbins that they will  
warrant generally the land hereby Conveyed  
witness the following signature & seal.

A. D. Robbins Seal  
Harriet A. Robbins Seal  
Mark



Wm P Page JP Secy  
 John Riddle JP Secy

Virginia Lee county to wit:

In the office of the clerk of the  
 said county the 10th day of September  
 1898. This deed was presented and  
 together with the certificate thereon  
 examined and admitted to record.

Teste John R. Gibson

Ex-Deed 41/898





Virginia Lee County to wit  
we John P Page and John Riddle Justices  
of the peace  
of Lee County in the State of Va  
do Certify that A D Robbins whose name  
is signed to the writing hereto annexed  
bearing date on the first day of March  
1884 has acknowledged the same before  
me in my County aforesaid.

Given under my hand  
this 1 day of march 1884

Virginia Lee County to wit  
we John P Page and John Riddle Justices  
of the peace

of Lee County in the State of Va  
do Certify that Mary Robbins wife of  
A D Robbins whose names are signed to the  
writing hereto annexed bearing date on  
the first day of March 1884. personally  
appeared before me in the County of Lee  
and being examined by me privily & apart  
from her Husband and having the writing  
aforesaid fully explained to her she  
the said Mary Robbins acknowledged the  
said writing to be her act and declared that  
she had willingly executed the same and does not  
wish to retract it Given under my hand  
and seal this 1 day of march 1884



KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, That we  
*R. L. Evans, W. A. Bailey, Mathew G. Givins and*  
*Linnothy Stewart*  
are held and firmly bound unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the sum of *three*  
*thousand* dollars, to the payment whereof, well and truly

to be made to the said Commonwealth of Virginia, we bind ourselves and each of us, our  
and each of our heirs, executors and administrators, jointly and severally, firmly by th  
presents, hereby waiving the benefit of our homestead exemptions as to this obligation  
and any claim, right, or privilege to discharge any liability arising under this bond, or by  
virtue of said office or trust, in any currency, funds, counter claims or offsets other than  
legal-tender currency of United States. Sealed with our seals, and dated *24* day  
of *January*, one thousand eight hundred and *eighty and*

The Condition of The Above Obligation is Such, That if the above bound  
*R. L. Evans*  
shall faithfully perform the duties of *this* office or trust, as *Receiver*

under a decree of the Circuit Court of the County of Lee, pronounced on the  
day of *June*, 18*90*, in the suit therein depending  
under the name and style of *A. D. Robbins, Esq.* Plaintiff  
vs. *Mary A. Robbins et al* Defendant

and properly account for all sums of money *due* may receive as  
such *Receiver*

then this obligation to be void, otherwise to remain in full force and virtue.

Signed, sealed and acknowledged in the  
presence of

*A. D. Robbins* (SEAL.)  
*R. L. Evans* (SEAL.)  
*W. A. Bailey* (SEAL.)  
*Mathew G. Givins* (SEAL.)  
*Linnothy Stewart* (SEAL.)

In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Lee

This day  
suret *R. L. Evans, W. A. Bailey, M. G. Givins*  
on the above bond, made oath before me J. A. G. Hyatt, Clerk of the Circuit  
Court of the County of Lee, that *they have & own*  
estate after the payment of all *their* just debts, and those  
for which *they are* bound as security for others, and expect to have  
to pay *are* worth the sum of *five thousand*  
*00* dollars.

Given under my hand this *24* day of *January*, 18*90*  
Teste: *J. A. G. Hyatt* Clerk.



J. G. Robson  
203 Barclay  
Green  
Law Office

Westbury 10/189  
L. H. Houghton



Know all Men by these Presents, That we William S. Hurst and James M. Parsons and A. D. Smith and E. G. Sprinkle are held and firmly bound unto Hendley F. Robinson in the sum of Two hundred dollars to be paid unto the said Hendley F. Robinson or his executors, administrators or assigns, for the true payment whereof, well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, jointly and severally, firmly by these presents. Sealed with our seals, and dated this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of August 1867.

THE CONDITION of the above obligation is such, that whereas, the above bound William S. Hurst has obtained from the Judge of the circuit court of Lee county a supersedeas to a judgment of the circuit court pronounced by the Circuit Court for the County of Lee, on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of June 1867, in a cause in which said Robinson was plaintiff and said Hurst was defendant.

NOW IF THE SAID William S. Hurst shall well and truly perform and satisfy the said judgment, in case the same shall be affirmed, or the supersedeas be dismissed, and also pay all such damages, costs and fees which may be awarded against him then this obligation to be void, otherwise to remain in full force.

W. S. Hurst { SEAL. }  
J. M. Parsons { SEAL. }

(Seal)



Hendray L. Robinson

James Bond

J. V. Hurst



To A. D. Robbins

You will please take notice, that on the first day of the next November term of the Circuit Court of Lee County, I will file a petition in the Chancery cause pending in said Court, of A. D. Robbins Guardian &c. against Mary A. Robbins and others, asking to be relieved from further liability as your surety in a bond executed by you as Receiver in said chancery cause aforesaid on the 6th day of January, 1891 which said Bond was executed before John A. G. Hyatt, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Lee County, in obedience to a decree of said Court, entered in said cause on the 3rd day of December 1890. This bond was signed by yourself and by R. L. Evans, W. J. Bailey, Matthew W. Zion, and myself, as your sureties. You can attend and defend said petition if you desire to do so.

Very truly yours &c.

L. T. Dunsen  
Atty.

Lincolnton Stewart  
by Counsel



Timothy Stewart

To  $\frac{1}{2}$  Notice

A D Robbins

Executed at 12 1893

By sending a copy  
of this Notice to

J. D. Roberts

M. R. K. R. O. S.

for 66 clearing

*[Signature]*



# The Commonwealth of Virginia.

To The Sheriff Of Lee County Greeting:

WE COMMAND YOU TO SUMMON Mary A. Robbins, Em-  
erson Robbins, Laura Robbins, Camp-  
bell Robbins, Arthur Robbins, and  
Emily Robbins

to appear at the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of Lee County, at the Court House, on the ~~first~~ <sup>third</sup> Monday  
in October next, to answer a bill in chancery exhibited  
in our said Court against them by H. D. Robbins  
guardian for Emerson, Laura, Campbell  
Arthur and Emily Robbins

upon a plea of \_\_\_\_\_, Damage. \$ \_\_\_\_\_

And have then and there this writ. Witness, J. A. G. Hyatt, Clerk of said Court at the Courthouse.

This 27<sup>th</sup> day of Sept. 1870, in the 11<sup>th</sup> year of the Commonwealth.

J. A. G. Hyatt Clerk.

A Copy Teste \_\_\_\_\_

Clerk.



A. D. Robbins guardian

vs } Spa. In Chan

Mary A. Robbins et al

To 2nd Oct - Rules, 1890

~~on Oct 16th 1890~~ delivered  
Presented by ~~summons~~  
a office copy of the  
within to Mary A  
Robbins one to <sup>2</sup> J. A  
Robbins one to Emerson  
Robbins one to <sup>3</sup> Laura  
Robbins one to Campbell  
Robbins one to Arthur  
Robbins one to Emily  
Robbins 7 Oct 1890

O. W. Keasar Deft for  
A. B. Murry & Co

fee for R \$3.50